Approved For Release 2005/01/05: CIA-RDP75-00145R6007001890167 3 E. CONGRESSIONAL RECORD -- APPENDIX 2 16 SAR GEAN

dent/Eisenhower, and both have the support of the present administration, One is "Strengthening American Science," December 27, 1958. The other is "Scientific Progress, the Universities and the Federal Government." November 15, 1960, quoted earlier, Needless to say, both are quite clear on the need for full payment on Government programs, the second going to the point of adding "if we are not to undermine the strength of the institutions which perform the needed research."

Against the background of the current ections in the House, the present is a most opportune time for a forthright reaffirmation of the purpose of Government spon orship of scientific research in the first instance. Indisputably, this must be to stimulate the growth of the Nation's science and technology. Government funds for research represent allocations of national resources to ends desired by Government. Where this money is spent in universities, it has the effect not only of enabling the desired research to be done, but also of promoting education in the fields supported. This is an important consideration to Government, for the desired growth of science and technology demands an increasing flow of new sateritists and engineers from the universitie. The Government is not doing favors for the educational institutions involved it is serving the national interest, in the reclinical areas which it selects, by making it possible for the universities also to serve in their dual role in those

PEDERAL FUNDS AND HIGHER EDUCATION

The hing-term effect of large Government funds on academic treedom is frequently proposed as a matter for thoughtful public concern, as indeed it should be. To the extent that the hazards are real we must cope with them, for the general problem is with us to stay. The difficulty here under discussion, however, in specific and present Unavoidably, and by no means necessarily balefully, the pattern of emphasis in the Government programs has the effect of influencing university curriculums toward the same pattern, leaving relatively less emphaels on the non-Government areas. It is definitely avoidable, however, and positively bad, that the universities should have to compound the effect by neglecting their other responsibilities to the point of actually deemphasizing them as the price of working with Government,

The present poacy of incomplete research payments is not residing or setting space the broader used of Federal aid to higher education, as some in Government seem to believe. On the contrary, and however unintentionally, the enforced deficits on Goverument account, by draining private funds from the non-Federal sector, are sharpening the universities' need for help where they could otherwise pay their own bills, and would very much like to do so.

The obvious undesirability of this result: gives the confidence expressed in this memorandum that the situation will be corrected once it is clearly understood.

Memorial Day Address at Sleepy Hollow Cemetery by Capt. Dean Hofstad. Chaplain, USAF

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

HON. F. BRADFORD MORSE

OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Tuesday, June 5, 1962

keeps plunging ahead a. it races ever cil, Mr. Howland Sargeant, president of

faster for material gain. It is fitting therefore, there come a time that as we pay tribute to those heroes of our heritage that we stop to take inventory of what we have, how we have attained it and what we must do to continue to hold and enjoy it.

At the Memorial Day services in Concord, Mass, the chaplain at the Laurence G. Hanscom Air Force Base at Bedford, Mass., Capt. Dean Hofstad, had much food for thought in his address. The Concord Free Press was so impressed with his remarks that it was carried as an editorial in its May 31 edition. Because I too feel that Captain Hofstad's speech will be of interest to all under leave to extend my remarks I include the editorial.

The editorial follows:

APORLSS AT SLEEPY HOLLOW CEMETERY, ME-MORIAL DAY, 1962, BY CAPT, DEAN HOPSTAD, CHAPLAIN, U.S. AIR FORCE

standing here in this hallowed place on this very special day in the life of the Amertean people. I find myself asking. Why do so many people forget so soon? How can they What right have we to forget when others have given their lives that we might

One of the shameful things about all of u is that we forget so quickly the good that others do for us. By all that is right, we should come to this place and others like it, much more often than we do, to offer a prayer of thanks for those who gave so much, and to rededicate ourselves to the American concept of life, liberty, and justice for all,

If we who are here present, tail to do this, these who have been buried here died in vain and we make of our past, a mockery.

How many millions there are in this world

today who would give all they have for the privilege of standing here in a free land with a free people. Many of these would gladly give their lives if they could but have some assurance that their children could participate in a ceremony like this one today.

We must never forget the heartaches, the decisions, the deaths that make up the cost of our freedom-and, we dare not forget that the responsibility is ours to keep it.

Gen. Omar N. Bradley said recently, "We are fast becoming a nation of nuclear giants and ethical infants. We have many men of dence but too few men of God."

is there any way in the world that we can deny that the backbone of this wenderful life has been a warm, personal faith in the living God. In Him we have found the right to be free and the privilege of a special kind of happiness. With all of this in mind, I would like to quote Benjamin Franklin. speaking at the Constitutional Conven-"I have lived, sir, a long time, and the longer I live, the more convincing proofs I see of this truth: that God governs in the affairs of men. And if a sparrow cannot fall to the ground without His notice, is it probable that in empire can last without His and?"

Radio Liberty Report

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

HON. THOMAS B. CURTIS

OF MISSOURI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, June 5, 1962

Mr. CURTIS of Missouri. Speaker, at a recent Washington con-Mr. MORSE. Mr. Speaker, the world ference dinner of the Advertising Counthe American Committee for Liberation gave a report, on the achivities of the committee's Hadio Liberty. As Mr. Sarteant points out in his address Radio Liberty speaks to the Russian people in their native tongues not as the official voice of some outside authority, but as the heart-to-heart appeal of those who have left the heart-to-heart appeal of those who have left the hoineland to those who re-main. As he further mentions, the re-sponse and interest shown by the people within the Soviet Union is high and encouraging.

In order to give a better idea of the work of Radio Liberty and the American Committee for Liberation, I am placing Mr. Sargeant's speech in the RECORD as a report to the Congress and the people. REMARKS AS PANEL PARTICIPANT ON "REPORTS

FROM THE U.S.S.R." AT THE WASHINGTON CONFERENCE DINNER OF THE ADVERTISING COUNCIL, MARCH 6, 1962, BY HOWLAND H. SARGRANT, PRESIDENT, AMERICAN COMMITTEE FOR LIBERATION (RADIO LIBERTY)

Radio Liberty broadcasts 1,659 transmitter hours every week from three locations in Europe and the Far East with 1,500,000 watts of power and is now the most powerful free world shortwave broadcaster concentrating exclusively on the heartland and military staging area of the Communist world-the Soviet Union Radio Liberty is privately sponsored. It is different from the official and enormously important Voice of America, which sees the world through American eyes and expresses official U.S policy. It is different from Radio Free Europe, also an important and valuable, privately sponsored network—but one which does not broad-cast in any language spoken in the Soviet Union and which concentrates its broadcast exclusively upon the Eastern Euro-pean satellite nations Radio Liberty is not the official spokesman for any government nor for any foreign institution, nor does it look at the world predominantly through American eyes. It tries to be a powerful voice of freedom speaking as a fellow Russian, who has lived under the Soviet system and now knows freedom, to a fellow Russian still in the homeland-or a fellow Ukrainian to a fellow countryman at home and so on. The news and views that Radio Liberty transmits around the clock, 7 days a week—in Russian and all other major lan-guages of the country—make it clear to Soviet listeners that hadio laberty exists to serve their interests, that its predominant emphasis is on what is happening specifically. to them. Many of its audience seem to have an image of Radio Liberty resembling that which Americans hold for a dedicated, fearless popular spokesman, who challenges entrenched bosses-or for a crusading news-paper, which dares to expose abuses and corruption in high places. It gives Soviet citizens a glimpse of exciting alternatives to their own depressing regimented existencekeeps alive their sense of freedom and human dignity, stimulates their demands for control of their own destinies and helps to break down their tragic intellectual, moral, and cultural isolation from the rest of mankind.

Radio Liberty's powerful voice not only gets through to listeners in the U.S.S.R., but listeners get through to Radio Liberty. During the past year kadio Liberty received more mail from Soviet citizens than was ever received by any other free world broadeaster to the Soviet Union in 12 months. Radio Liberty acknowledges the receipt of each letter on the sir, reads the letter if it is short or summarizes it accurately if it is longer-whether favorable or hostile. (Favorable letters run about 4 to 1 against hostile or apparently critical mail.)

The recent letters to Radio Liberty, backed by a close study of Soviet press and radio for Approved For Release 2005/01/05: CIA-RDP75-00149R000700180016-2